

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,120	04/30/2001	Gregory Paul Matthews	GP-300996	1622	
7:	590 10/17/2003		EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES			MILLER, CARL STUART		
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300	0045 0000		3747		
Detroit, MI 4	8265-3000		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— —
	•	09/845,120	MATTHEWS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Carl S. Miller	3747	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -	No.
A SHOTHE IN THE IN	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the communica	ation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		,	
2a)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	——· This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo		atters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
,	closed in accordance with the practice unde			د
•	on of Claims	an an		
•	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn nom consideration.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	I/or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	<u></u>	the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•		
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No	
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	_	
14) <u></u> △	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
) \square The translation of the foreign language $\mathfrak p$			
Attachmen	•			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice o	y Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and Ti	ademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/845,120

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taguchi.

In particular, the applicant should see Figure 1, which includes a torque sensor (29), a spark control (gasoline), throttle control motor, throttle position sensor and pedal position sensor. Also, the last line of the abstract makes it clear that the object of the device is to keep torque constant with changes in the capacity of the engine.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi in view of Kato ('296). Taguchi applies as noted and Kato teaches the well known use of an encoder to sense the position of an accelerator pedal, thereby making this type of sensor an obvious choice for Taguchi.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,120

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7 and 9 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi in view of Yamanaka ('633).

Taguchi applies as noted above. Yamanaka teaches another variable displacement engine (having 8 cylinders) which also cuts out oylinders in order to vary the effective displacement of the engine.

Yamanaka is also a gasoline engine and includes an air mass sensor that is used to set the desired torque by using this parameter to sense engine load. As noted in the Abstract, an actuator is used to reposition the throttle in order to let in more air with less cylinders actuated in order to keep torque constant. Both Yamanaka and Taguchi would use some type of servo and feedback control to reposition the throttle valve. Finally, models or maps are used to select the torque based upon the load (air flow) and other parameters (including other load parameters).

It would have been obvious to use an air-flow sensor as a load input for calculating desired torque in Taguchi because Taguchi also talks about setting a desired torque even though he does not detail how this is set.

Applie

Application/Control Number: 09/845,120

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 703-308-2653.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner